

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

RUDDY ULLOA GOMEZ, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

**COLLECTIVE ACTION  
COMPLAINT**

-against-

BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER  
SUPERMARKET, and BRUNO CORONA, as an individual,

JURY TRIAL  
REQUESTED

Defendants,

-----X

1. Plaintiff, **RUDDY ULLOA GOMEZ**, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as “Plaintiff”), by their attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

2. Plaintiff, **RUDDY ULLOA GOMEZ**, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against **BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET, and BRUNO CORONA, as an individual** (collectively hereinafter, “Defendants”) to recover damages for Defendants’ egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiff’s employment with the Defendants at **BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET** located at 191-199 Parkside Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11220 and at 650 Flatbush Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11225.
3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys’ fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's other state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
6. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this judicial district.
7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

### **THE PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff RUDDY ULLOA GOMEZ residing at Brooklyn, NY 11226 was employed by Defendants from in or around May 2015 until in or around May 2021.
9. Defendant, BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET, is a New York domestic business corporation, organized under the laws of the State of New York with a principal executive office located 191-199 Parkside Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11220.
10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET is a corporation duly authorized to do business under the laws of the State of New York.
11. Upon information and belief, Defendants BRUNO CORONA is the owner and Chief Executive Officer of corporate Defendant, BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET.
12. Upon information and belief, Defendant BRUNO CORONA is an agent of BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET.
13. Upon information and belief, Defendant BRUNO CORONA is responsible for overseeing all daily operations of the Defendant's business at BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET.
14. Upon information and belief, Defendant BRUNO CORONA has power and authority over all the final personnel decisions of BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant BRUNO CORONA has the power and authority over all final payroll decisions of BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET including the Plaintiff.
16. Defendant BRUNO CORONA has the power to hire the employees of BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET including the Plaintiff.
17. Defendant BRUNO CORONA has the power over the firing and terminating of the employees of BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET including Plaintiff.
18. Defendant BRUNO CORONA is responsible for determining, establishing, and paying the wages of all employees of BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET including the Plaintiff, setting their work schedules, and maintaining all their employment records of the business.
19. Accordingly, at all relevant times hereto, Defendant BRUNO CORONA was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning and the intent of the FLSA, and the NYLL.
20. At all times relevant to the allegations contained in the complaint, BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET was, and is, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET (i) has purchased goods, tools, and supplies for its business through the streams and channels of interstate commerce, and has had employees engaged in interstate commerce, and/or in the production of goods intended for commerce, and handle, sell and otherwise work with goods and material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

21. At the time of the filing of this complaint, the relevant statutory period pursuant to the NYLL and FLSA is from in or around December 2016 through the present ("Relevant Statutory Period").
22. Plaintiff RUDDY ULLOA GOMEZ was employed by Defendants at BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET, as a security guard and

doorman while performing related miscellaneous duties for the Defendants, from in or around May 2015 until in or around May 2021.

23. Plaintiff regularly worked six (6) days per week from in or around December 2016 until in or around December 2018 and five (5) days per week from in or around January 2019 until in or around May 2021.
24. From in or around December 2016 until in or around December 2018, Plaintiff regularly worked a schedule of shifts beginning at approximately:
  - i. 4:00 p.m. and regularly ended at approximately 10:00 p.m. or later, four (4) days per week;
  - ii. 12:00 p.m. and regularly ended at approximately 10:00 p.m., or later, once out of each week; and
  - iii. 7:00 a.m. and regularly ended at approximately 9:00 p.m., or later, once out of each week.
25. From in or around January 2019 until in or around May 2021, Plaintiff regularly worked a schedule of shifts beginning at approximately 7:00 a.m. and regularly ended at approximately 4:00 p.m., or later, five (5) days per week.
26. Thus, Plaintiff was regularly required to work approximately forty-eight (48) hours, or more hours each from in or around December 2016 until in or around December 2018 and approximately forty-five (45) hours or more hours each week from in or around January 2019 until in or around May 2021.
27. In addition to the above work schedules, BRUNO CORONA also directed the Plaintiff to cover another security guard's shift at another supermarket owned by BRUNO CORONA, which was located at 650 Flatbush Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11225.
28. For approximately ten weeks of each calendar year, Plaintiff was required to work another security guard's shifts in addition to his regular schedule.
29. During these weeks, Plaintiff worked an additional twenty-five (25) on top of his regular schedule, meaning that Plaintiff would work approximately seventy-three (73) hours per week during such weeks from in or around December 2016 until in or around December 2018 and approximately seventy (70) hours per week during such weeks from in or around January 2019 until in or around May 2021.

30. During these weeks, Defendants would require Plaintiff to punch in and out at the 650 Flatbush Avenue location using the time card of the person that he replaced so that Defendants could pay Plaintiff these additional hours at straight time and so that these hours would not be recorded on Plaintiff's own time card.
31. During the relevant statutory period, Plaintiff RUDDY ULLOA GOMEZ was paid by Defendants, approximately:
  - a. \$12.00 per hour for all hours worked in or around December 2016;
  - b. \$13.50 per hour for all hours worked from in or around January 2017 until or around December 2017; and
  - c. \$15.00 per hour for all hours worked from in or around January 2018 until or around May 2021;
32. Although Plaintiff regularly worked approximately forty-eight (48) hours or more per week from in or around December 2016 until in or around December 2018 and approximately forty-five (45) hours or more per week from in or around January 2019 until in or around May 2021, the Defendants did not pay Plaintiff at a wage rate of time and a half (1.5) for his hours regularly worked over forty (40) in a work week, a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
33. Moreover, although Plaintiff regularly worked ten (10) hours or more hours per day, two (2) days per week from in or around December 2016 until in or around December 2018, the Defendants did not pay Plaintiff an extra hour at the legally prescribed minimum wage for each day that Plaintiff worked in excess of ten (10) hours, a blatant violation of the spread of hours provisions contained in the NYLL and related state regulations.
34. Furthermore, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
35. Furthermore, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
36. Additionally, Defendants willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English, of her applicable regular rate of pay, regular pay day, and all such information as required by NYLL §195(1).

37. Defendants willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with any wage statements, upon each payment of his wages, as required by NYLL §195(3).
38. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages. Plaintiff also seeks statutory interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

39. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself, and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are hereafter, the "Collective Class."
40. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as security guards, doormen or any other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wages.
41. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 10 to 15 employees during the relevant statutory period who Defendants subject(ed) to similar payment structures that violate applicable law.
42. Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff - and the Collective Class - to regularly work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
43. Defendants' unlawful conduct herein has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
44. Defendants had knowledge that the Plaintiff and the Collective Class regularly performed work requiring overtime pay.
45. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith - and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff, as well as the Collective Class.
46. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff, and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have

been denied overtime pay and proper minimum wage pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.

- 47. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 48. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the whole putative class.
- 49. Plaintiff and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 50. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act**

- 51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 52. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) *et seq.*
- 53. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in interstate commerce and/or was engaged in the production of goods for/through the channels of interstate commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a), *et seq.*
- 54. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 55. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs' overtime wages for all hours regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).

56. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made any good faith effort to comply with their obligations under the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
57. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law**

58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
59. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
60. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs' overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiffs were entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3, *et seq.*
61. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

## **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **Unpaid Spread of Hour Compensation Under New York Labor Law**

62. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
63. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights by failing to pay Plaintiff an additional hour of pay at minimum wage for each day Plaintiff regularly worked more than ten (10) hours, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Labor Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 N.Y. C. R. R. § 142-2.4, *et seq.*



64. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants his unpaid spread of hour compensation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N. Y. Labor Law § 663 (1).

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law**

65. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
66. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English, and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his applicable regular rate of pay, regular pay day, and all such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
67. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

#### **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law**

68. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
69. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements, upon each payment of his wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
70. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs' unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiffs' unpaid spread of hours compensation;

- d. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; together with such further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: December 14, 2022  
Kew Gardens, NY

*Roman Avshalumov*  
Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)  
Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C.  
80-02 Kew Gardens Road, Suite 601  
Kew Gardens, New York 11415  
Telephone: 718-263-9591

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

RUDDY ULLOA GOMEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET, and BRUNO CORONA,  
as an individual,

Defendants,

---

**COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT**

---

*Jury Trial Demanded*

---

**HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

*Attorneys for the Plaintiff*

80-02 Kew Gardens Road

Suite 601

Kew Gardens, New York 11415

Phone: (718) 263-9591

Fax: (718) 263-9598

---

To:

**Via Secretary of State and Personal Service:**

**BC FOOD & PRODUCE CORP. d/b/a PIONEER SUPERMARKET (NYDOS ID#3470957)**

191-199 Parkside Avenue,

Brooklyn, NY 11220.

**BRUNO CORONA**

191-199 Parkside Avenue,

Brooklyn, NY 11220.

8619 Eton St 1

Jamaica, NY 11432-2408